arXiv:1409.8532v2 [math.PR] 21 Jun 2015

A RANDOM MATRIX APPROXIMATION FOR THE NON-COMMUTATIVE FRACTIONAL BROWNIAN MOTION

JUAN CARLOS PARDO, JOSÉ-LUIS PÉREZ, AND VICTOR PÉREZ-ABREU

ABSTRACT. A functional limit theorem for the empirical measure-valued process of eigenvalues of a matrix fractional Brownian motion is obtained. It is shown that the limiting measure-valued process is the non-commutative fractional Brownian motion recently introduced by Nourdin and Taqqu [12]. Young and Skorohod stochastic integral techniques and fractional calculus are the main tools used.

Key words and phrases: Matrix fractional Brownian motion, measure valued process, free probability, Young integral, fractional calculus.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

Motivated by the fact that there is often a close correspondence between classical probability and free probability, Nourdin and Taqqu [12] recently introduced the non-commutative fractional Brownian motion (ncfBm). It appears as the limiting process in a central limit theorem for long range dependence time series in free probability, in analogy to the classical probability case (see [20], for example). A ncfBm of Hurst parameter $H \in (0,1)$ is a centered semicircular process $S^H = \left\{S_t^H\right\}_{t\geq 0}$ in a non-commutative probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ with covariance function

$$\varphi(S_t^H S_s^H) = \frac{1}{2}\left(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}\right). \tag{1.1}$$

The case $S^{1/2}$ is the well known free Brownian motion introduced in [3]. The ncfBm S^H is the only standardized semicircular process which is self-similar and has stationary increments. For the study of the ncfBm and the required free probability framework, we refer to Section 2 in [12] or Chapter 8 in [11]. In the present paper, we will deal mainly with the law $(\mu_t^H)_{t\geq 0}$ of a ncfBm instead of the non-commutative process.

Ever since the seminal paper by Voiculescu [21], it has been well known that free probability is a convenient framework for investigating the limits of the spectral distributions of random matrices (see for instance Section 5.4 in Anderson, Guionnet and Zeitouni [2]). On the functional asymptotic behavior side, Biane [3] proved that the free Brownian motion $S^{1/2}$ appears as the measure-valued process limit of $n \times n$ Hermitian matrix Brownian motions with size n going to infinity. Roughly speaking, this result gives a realization of the free Brownian motion $S^{1/2}$ as the spectral limit of well known matrix-valued processes.

This version: June 23, 2015.

On the other hand, for a fixed dimension n, the matrix-valued fractional Brownian motion was recently studied by Nualart and Pérez-Abreu [16]. It was shown that its corresponding eigenvalue process is non-colliding almost surely and a Skorohod stochastic differential equation governing this process was established.

The main purpose of the present paper is to show that the ncfBm S^H has a realization as the measure-valued process limit of $n \times n$ matrix fractional Brownian motions, as the size n goes to infinity. This gives a correspondence between classical fractional Brownian motion and non-commutative fractional Brownian motion. Our method uses the Skorohod and Young stochastic calculus for a multidimensional fractional Brownian motion as well as the fractional calculus. It is important to note that our methodology does not apply to the case $H = 1/2$ of the free Brownian motion.

More precisely, let us consider a family of independent fractional Brownian motions starting from 0 with Hurst parameter $H \in (1/2, 1)$, $b = \{\{b_{ij}(t), t \geq 0\}, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\}$, and define the symmetric matrix fractional Brownian motion of dimension $n \times n$ by $B(t)$ by $B_{ij}(t) = b_{ij}(t)$ for $i < j$, and $B_{ii}(t) = \sqrt{2} b_{ii}(t)$.

As we are interested in functional limit theorems for the eigenvalues of the fractional Brownian motion, for $n \geq 1$ we will consider the following sequence of renormalized processes $\{B^{(n)}(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$, given by

$$B^{(n)}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} B(t), \qquad \text{for } t > 0.$$

Following [16], it is possible to apply the chain rule to the Young integral to obtain the following equation for the eigenvalues of the process $B^{(n)}$

$$\lambda_i^{(n)}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k \leq h} \int_0^t \frac{\partial \Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}}(b(s)) \circ db_{kh}(s), \tag{1.2}$$

for any $t > 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$, and where $\Phi_i^{(n)} = \lambda_i^{(n)}$. Observe

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}} = 2 u_{ik}^{(n)} u_{ih}^{(n)} 1_{\{k \neq h\}} + (u_{ik}^{(n)})^2 1_{\{k=h\}} \tag{1.3}$$

where $u_{ik}^{(n)}$ denotes the k-th coordinate of the i-th eigenvector of the matrix $B^{(n)}$.

The empirical measure-valued process which will be related to the functional limit theorems is

$$\mu_t^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{\lambda_j^{(n)}(t)}, \qquad t \geq 0, \tag{1.4}$$

where δ_x denotes the unit mass at x. From the celebrated Wigner theorem in random matrix theory, one has that for each fixed $t > 0$, $\mu_t^{(n)}$ converges a.s. to μ_t^{sc}, the Wigner semicircle distribution of parameter t:

$$\mu_t^{sc}(dx) = \frac{1}{2\pi t} \sqrt{4t - x^2} 1_{[-2\sqrt{t}, 2\sqrt{t}]}(x) dx,$$

see for instance [9], [21], [22].

The main result of this paper, stated in the framework of [6] and [19], is the following functional limit theorem for the empirical spectral measure-valued processes $\{(\mu_t^{(n)})_{t\geq 0} : n \geq 1\}$ converging to the ncfBm. Let $\Pr(\mathbb{R})$ be the space of probability measures on $\mathbb{R}$ endowed with the topology of weak convergence and let $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \Pr(\mathbb{R}))$ be the space of continuous functions from $\mathbb{R}_+$ into $\Pr(\mathbb{R}),$ endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact intervals of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

Theorem 1. *The family of measure-valued processes $\{(\mu_t^{(n)})_{t\geq 0} : n \geq 1\}$ converges weakly in $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \Pr(\mathbb{R}))$ to the family $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}$ that corresponds to the law of a non-commutative fractional Brownian motion of Hurst parameter $H \in (1/2, 1)$ and covariance (1.1).*

The case $H = 1/2$ of the free Brownian motion is known, see for instance [5], [6], [17], and [19]. The proof of Theorem 1 is for $H \in (1/2, 1)$ and it is done using results about the Young stochastic integral as well as fine estimations based on the fractional calculus.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we derive the stochastic evolution of the empirical measure of the eigenvalues of the matrix fractional Brownian motion. In Section 3 we prove that the family $\{(\mu_t^n)_{t\geq 0} : n \geq 1\}$ is tight in $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \Pr(\mathbb{R}))$. This is achieved by estimations of Young integrals by means of the fractional calculus. In Section 4, we show that the weak limit $\{(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}\}$, of the sequence of measure-valued processes $\{(\mu_t^{(n)})_{t\geq 0} : n \geq 1\}$, satisfies a measure-valued equation. In Section 5 we prove that the deterministic process $\{(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}\}$ corresponds to the law of a non-commutative fractional Brownian motion. For this we show, using results in [21], that the process has semicircular finite-dimensional distributions, and covariance given by (1.1).

For preliminaries on the stochastic calculus with respect to fractional Brownian motion, we refer to [10], [11] and [13].

2. The stochastic evolution of the empirical measure of the eigenvalues of a matrix fractional Brownian motion

As is usual, for a probability measure μ and a μ-integrable function f, we use the notation $\langle \mu, f\rangle = \int f(x)\mu(\mathrm{d}x)$. Hence noting that the empirical measure $\{(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}\}$ is a point measure, we have that for $f \in C_b^2$

$$\langle \mu_t^{(n)}, f\rangle = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\lambda_i^{(n)}(t)). \tag{2.5}$$

Therefore, applying the chain rule to the last equation,

$$\langle \mu_t^{(n)}, f\rangle = \langle \mu_0^{(n)}, f\rangle + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_0^t f'(\Phi_i^n(b(s))) \circ d\lambda_i^{(n)}(s), \qquad t \geq 0. \tag{2.6}$$

In order to consider the evolution of the measure-valued process $\{\mu_t^{(n)} : t \geq 0\}$, we prove the following result.

Lemma 1. *Let $\{\mu_t^{(n)} : t \geq 0\}$ be the empirical measure-valued process of the eigenvalues of the matrix fractional Brownian motion $(B^{(n)})_{t\geq 0}$. Then for each $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $t \geq 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mu_t^{(n)}, f\rangle &= \langle \mu_0^{(n)}, f\rangle + \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k\leq h} \int_0^t f'(\Phi_i^n(b(s))) \frac{\partial \Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}}(b(s)) \delta b_{kh}(s) \\ &\quad + H \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f'(x) - f'(y)}{x-y} s^{2H-1} \mu_s^{(n)}(dx) \mu_s^{(n)}(dy) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{H}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t f''(\Phi_i^n(b(s))) s^{2H-1} ds. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. First we note that using (2.6) and (1.2) we obtain

$$\langle \mu_t^{(n)}, f\rangle = \langle \mu_0^{(n)}, f\rangle + \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k\leq h} \int_0^t f'(\Phi_i^n(b(s))) \frac{\partial \Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}}(b(s)) \circ db_{kh}(s).$$

Now we will be interested in replacing the Young integrals by Skorohod integrals in the above expression. To this end, we will prove that the condition of Proposition 3 in [1] is satisfied. We will denote by D^{kh} the Malliavin derivative with respect to b_{kh}, for each $1 \leq k \leq h \leq n$.

First note that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t \int_0^t D_r^{kh} \left(f'(\Phi_i^n(b(s))) \frac{\partial \Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}}(b(s)) \right) |s-r|^{2H-2} dr ds \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{2H-1} \int_0^t f''(\Phi_i^n(b(s))) \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}}(b(s)) \right)^2 s^{2H-1} ds \\ &\qquad + \frac{1}{2H-1} \int_0^t f'(\Phi_i^n(b(s))) \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial (b_{kh}^{(n)})^2}(b(s)) s^{2H-1} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using (1.3),

$$\left| \frac{\partial \Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}}(b(s)) \right| \leq 2$$

and so

$$\left| \int_0^t f''(\Phi_i^n(b(s))) \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}}(b(s)) \right)^2 s^{2H-1} ds \right| \leq \frac{4}{2H} \|f''\|_\infty t^{2H} < \infty.$$

On the other hand, using (5.6) in [16], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\int_0^t f'(\Phi_i^n(b(s)))\frac{\partial^2\Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial(b_{kh}^{(n)})^2}(b(s))s^{2H-1}ds\right|\right) &\leq \|f'\|_\infty \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t\left|\frac{\partial^2\Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial(b_{kh}^{(n)})^2}(b(s))\right|s^{2H-1}ds\right)\\ &= \|f'\|_\infty\int_0^t\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\frac{\partial^2\Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial(b_{kh}^{(n)})^2}(b(s))\right|\right)s^{2H-1}ds\\ &\leq C_1\int_0^t s^{H-1}ds = \frac{C_1}{H}t^H<\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can conclude that

$$\left|\int_0^t f'(\Phi_i^n(b(s)))\frac{\partial^2\Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial(b_{kh}^{(n)})^2}(b(s))s^{2H-1}ds\right|<\infty \qquad \mathbb{P}\text{ a.s.}$$

So, putting the pieces together, we obtain that

$$\int_0^t\int_0^t D_r^{kh}\left(f'(\Phi_i^n(b(s)))\frac{\partial\Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}}(b(s))\right)|s-r|^{2H-2}drds<\infty \qquad \mathbb{P}\text{ a.s.}$$

Therefore, by Proposition 3 in [1] (see also Proposition 5.2.3 in [13]), we can express the Young integrals that appear in (1.2) in terms of Skorohod integrals. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\mu_t^{(n)},f\rangle &= \langle\mu_0^{(n)},f\rangle+\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{k\leq h}\int_0^t f'(\Phi_i^n(b(s)))\frac{\partial\Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}}(b(s))\delta b_{kh}(s)\\ &+\frac{H(2H-1)}{n^2}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{k\leq h}\int_0^t\int_0^t D_r^{kh}\left(f'(\Phi_i^n(b(s)))\frac{\partial\Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}}(b(s))\right)|s-r|^{2H-2}drds\\ &= \langle\mu_0^{(n)},f\rangle+\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{k\leq h}\int_0^t f'(\Phi_i^n(b(s)))\frac{\partial\Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}}(b(s))\delta b_{kh}(s)\\ &+\frac{H}{n^2}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{k\leq h}\int_0^t f''(\Phi_i^n(b(s)))\left(\frac{\partial\Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}}(b(s))\right)^2 s^{2H-1}ds\\ &+\frac{H}{n^2}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{k\leq h}\int_0^t f'(\Phi_i^n(b(s)))\frac{\partial^2\Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial(b_{kh}^{(n)})^2}(b(s))s^{2H-1}ds.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand in p. 4280 of [16] we can find the following relation

$$\sum_{k\leq h}\left(\frac{\partial\Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}}(b(s))\right)^2=2. \tag{2.8}$$

Hence, using (2.8),

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mu_t^{(n)}, f\rangle &= \langle \mu_0^{(n)}, f\rangle + \frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{k\le h}\int_0^t f'(\Phi_i^n(b(s)))\frac{\partial \Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}}(b(s))\delta b_{kh}(s)\\ &+ \frac{2H}{n^2}\sum_{i=1}^n\int_0^t f''(\Phi_i^n(b(s)))s^{2H-1}ds + \frac{2H}{n^2}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j\neq i}\int_0^t \frac{f'(\Phi_i^n(b(s)))}{\lambda_i^{(n)}(s)-\lambda_j^{(n)}(s)}s^{2H-1}ds\\ &= \langle \mu_0^{(n)}, f\rangle + \frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{k\le h}\int_0^t f'(\Phi_i^n(b(s)))\frac{\partial \Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}}(b(s))\delta b_{kh}(s)\\ &+ H\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{f'(x)-f'(y)}{x-y}s^{2H-1}\mu_s^{(n)}(dx)\mu_s^{(n)}(dy)ds\\ &+ \frac{H}{n^2}\sum_{i=1}^n\int_0^t f''(\Phi_i^n(b(s)))s^{2H-1}ds.\end{aligned}$$

Here, in the third equality, we used the identity

$$\sum_{k\le h}\frac{\partial^2\Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial (b_{kh}^{(n)})^2}(b(s)) = 2\sum_{j\neq i}\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{(n)}(s)-\lambda_j^{(n)}(s)}.$$

(See, for instance, p. 4279 in [16]). □

3. Tightness of the family of laws $\{\mu_t^{(n)} : t \ge 0\}$

In this section we will prove that the family of the laws of the measured-valued processes $\{(\mu_t^{(n)})_{t\ge 0} : n \ge 1\}$ is tight in the space $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathrm{Pr}(\mathbb{R}))$.

Proposition 1. *The family of measures $\{(\mu_t^{(n)})_{t\ge 0} : n \ge 1\}$ is tight.*

Proof. Using (2.5) it is easy to see that for every $0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le T$, $n \ge 1$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}_b^2$,

$$\left|\langle \mu_{t_2}^{(n)}, f\rangle - \langle \mu_{t_1}^{(n)}, f\rangle\right| \le \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\left|f(\lambda_i^{(n)}(t_2)) - f(\lambda_i^{(n)}(t_1))\right|. \tag{3.9}$$

We will assume that the eigenvalues are ordered in the following way

$$\lambda_1^{(n)}(t) \le \lambda_2^{(n)}(t) \le \cdots \le \lambda_n^{(n)}(t),$$

for each $t \ge 0$.

Hence using Lemma 2.1.19 in [2] (the Hoffman-Weilandt inequality, see also [8]), and the fact that the eigenvalues do not collide for any $t > 0$ a.s., we deduce

$$|\lambda_i^{(n)}(t_2) - \lambda_i^{(n)}(t_1)|^4 \le \left[\sum_{i=1}^n(\lambda_i^{(n)}(t_2) - \lambda_i^{(n)}(t_1))^2\right]^2 \le \left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i,j=1}^n\left(\frac{B_{ij}(t_2)}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{B_{ij}(t_1)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^2\right]^2,$$

for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Therefore using the fact that the entries of the matrix fractional Brownian motion $(B(t))_{t\geq 0}$ are independent, we obtain that there exists a constant $C>0$ that does not depend on n such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(|\lambda_i^{(n)}(t_2)-\lambda_i^{(n)}(t_1)|^4\right)\leq C|t_1-t_2|^{4H}, \qquad \text{for all } i=1,\dots,n.$$

Again, using that the function f' is bounded and applying the Mean Value Theorem, we deduce

$$|f(\lambda_i^{(n)}(r))-f(\lambda_i^{(n)}(s))|\leq \|f'\|_\infty|\lambda_i^{(n)}(r)-\lambda_i^{(n)}(s)|.$$

Therefore using the above estimate in (3.9) and Jensen's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\langle\mu_{t_2}^{(n)},f\rangle-\langle\mu_{t_1}^{(n)},f\rangle\right|^4\right)&\leq \|f'\|_\infty^4\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\left|\lambda_i^{(n)}(t_2)-\lambda_i^{(n)}(t_1)\right|\right)^4\right]\\&\leq \frac{\|f'\|_\infty^4}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\lambda_i^{(n)}(t_2)-\lambda_i^{(n)}(t_1)\right|^4\right)\\&\leq C\|f'\|_\infty^4|t_2-t_1|^{4H}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by a well known criterion (see [7], Prop. 2.4), we have that the sequence of continuous real processes $\{(\langle\mu_t^{(n)},f\rangle)_{t\geq 0}:n\geq 1\}$ is tight and consequently the sequence of processes $\{(\mu_t^{(n)})_{t\geq 0}:n\geq 1\}$ is tight in the space $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+,\Pr(\mathbb{R}))$. □

4. Weak convergence of the empirical measure of eigenvalues

In the previous section, we proved that the family of measures $\{(\mu_t^{(n)})_{t\geq 0}:n\geq 1\}$ is tight in the space $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+,\Pr(\mathbb{R}))$. Now we will proceed to identify the limit of any subsequence of the family. To this end we will first prove an estimate for the pth moment of the repulsion force between the eigenvalues of a matrix fractional Brownian motion, as the dimension goes to infinity.

Lemma 2. *For each $p\in(1,2)$, $i=1,\dots,n-1$, and for $t\geq 0$ we have that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda_i^{(n)}(t)-\lambda_{i+1}^{(n)}(t)|^p}\right)=t^{-pH}O(n^{p-2}), \qquad \textit{as } n\to\infty,$$

uniformly with respect to t.

Proof. For $t\geq 0$, let us consider the eigenvalues $\{\lambda^{(n)}(t)\}_{i=1}^n$ of the matrix $B^{(n)}(t)$. Using (5.6) in [16] and (7.2.30) in [9], we have that the joint distribution of two consecutive eigenvalues is given by

$$\mathbb{P}(\lambda_i^{(n)}(1)\in dx_i,\lambda_{i+1}^{(n)}(1)\in dx_{i+1})=n\frac{(n-2)!}{n!}\det[K_{n1}(x_i\sqrt{n},x_{i+1}\sqrt{n})]dx_idx_{i+1},$$

where

$$K_{n1}(u_i,u_{i+1})=\begin{pmatrix}S_n(u_i,u_{i+1})+\alpha_n(u_i) & D_n(u_i,u_{i+1})\\ J_n(u_i,u_{i+1}) & S_n(u_{i+1},u_i)+\alpha_n(u_{i+1})\end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_n(u_i,u_{i+1}) &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\varphi_j(u_i)\varphi(u_{i+1}) + \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{1/2}\varphi_{n-1}(u_i)\int_{\mathbb{R}}\delta(u_{i+1}-t)\varphi_n(t)dt,\\
D_n(u_i,u_{i+1}) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i+1}}S_n(u_i,u_{i+1}),\\
I_n(u_i,u_{i+1}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}}\delta(u_i-t)S_n(t,u_{i+1})dt,\\
J_n(u_i,u_{i+1}) &= I_n(u_i,u_{i+1}) - \delta(u_i-u_{i+1}) + \beta(u_i) - \beta(u_{i+1}),\\
\beta(u_i) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}}\delta(u_i-y)\alpha(y)dy,\\
\delta(u_i) &= \frac{1}{2}\text{sign}(u_i),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\alpha_n(u_i) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{2m}(u_i)/\int_{\mathbb{R}}\varphi_{2m}(t)dt & \text{if } n=2m+1\\ 0 & \text{if } n=2m, \end{cases}
$$

and for each $j\in\mathbb{N}$

$$
\varphi_j(u_i) = (2^j j!\sqrt{\pi})^{-1/2}\exp(u_i^2/2)\left(-\frac{d}{du_i}\right)^j\exp(-u_i^2).
$$

By Proposition 5.4 in [16] we have that the process $\{(\lambda_1^{(n)}(t),\ldots,\lambda_n^{(n)}(t))\}_{t\geq 0}$ is H-self-similar, hence

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda_i^{(n)}(t)-\lambda_{i+1}^{(n)}(t)|^p}\right) = t^{-pH}\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda_i^{(n)}(1)-\lambda_{i+1}^{(n)}(1)|^p}\right).
$$

Therefore, if we consider the following expectation, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda_i^{(n)}(t)-\lambda_{i+1}^{(n)}(t)|^p}\right) &= t^{-pH}n\frac{(n-2)!}{n!}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{|x_i-x_{i+1}|^p}\det[K_{n1}(x_i\sqrt{n},x_{i+1}\sqrt{n})]dx_i dx_{i+1}\\
&= t^{-pH}\frac{2\pi^{1-p}n^p}{n(n-1)}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{|u_i-u_{i+1}|^p}\frac{\pi}{2n}\det[K_{n1}(\pi u_i/\sqrt{n},\pi u_{i+1}/\sqrt{n})]du_i du_{i+1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, using (7.2.41) in [9] (see also Theorem 3.9.22 in [2]), we have that the joint density of the eigenvalues satisfies for any bounded interval $I\subset\mathbb{R}$

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\pi}{2n}\det[K_{n1}(\pi u_i/\sqrt{n},\pi u_{i+1}/\sqrt{n})] = K(u_i,u_{i+1}), \tag{4.10}
$$

uniformly on $u_i,u_{i+1}\in I$, where

$$
K(u_i,u_{i+1}) = 1-\left[s^2(r)+\left(\int_r^\infty s(t)dt\right)\left(\frac{d}{dr}s(r)\right)\right],
$$

with $s(r) = \sin(\pi r)/\pi r$ and $r = |u_i - u_{i+1}|$. Hence using the estimate (7.2.44) in [9], we note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{|u_i - u_{i+1}|^p} K(u_i, u_{i+1}) du_i du_{i+1} < \infty.$$

Now we note that using (4.10) and Scheffe's Theorem (see p. 215 in [4]) the following holds

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{|u_i|+|u_i-u_{i+1}|>1} \frac{1}{|u_i - u_{i+1}|^p} \frac{\pi}{2n} \det[K_{n1}(\pi u_i/\sqrt{n}, \pi u_{i+1}/\sqrt{n})] du_i du_{i+1} \\ = \int_{|u_i|+|u_i-u_{i+1}|>1} \frac{1}{|u_i - u_{i+1}|^p} K(u_i, u_{i+1}) du_i du_{i+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Using that (4.10) holds uniformly on $u_i, u_{i+1} \in I$, for any bounded interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, then we can find $N \in \mathbb{N}$, large enough, such that

$$\left| \frac{\pi}{2n} \det[K_{n1}(\pi u_i/\sqrt{n}, \pi u_{i+1}/\sqrt{n})] - K(u_i, u_{i+1}) \right| < \varepsilon, \qquad \text{for } n \geq N.$$

On the other hand, using polar coordinates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|u_i|+|u_i-u_{i+1}|\leq 1} \frac{1}{|u_i - u_{i+1}|^p} du_i du_{i+1} &\leq \int_0^{\sqrt{5}} r^{1-p} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|\cos\theta - \sin\theta|^p} d\theta dr \\ &\leq \frac{5^{1-p/2}}{2-p} \left[\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|\cos\theta - \sin\theta|^2} d\theta + \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \right] \\ &= \frac{5^{1-p/2}}{2-p} \left(2\pi + \frac{1}{2} \left[\tan\left(\frac{t\pi}{4}\right) + \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \right] \right) < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|u_i|+|u_i-u_{i+1}|\leq 1} \frac{1}{|u_i - u_{i+1}|^p} \left| \frac{\pi}{2n} \det[K_{n1}(\pi u_i/\sqrt{n}, \pi u_{i+1}/\sqrt{n})] - K(u_i, u_{i+1}) \right| du_i du_{i+1} \\ \leq \varepsilon \int_{|u_i|+|u_i-u_{i+1}|\leq 1} \frac{1}{|u_i - u_{i+1}|^p},\end{aligned}$$

which in turn implies

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{|u_i|+|u_i-u_{i+1}|\leq 1} \frac{1}{|u_i - u_{i+1}|^p} \frac{\pi}{2n} \det[K_{n1}(\pi u_i/\sqrt{n}, \pi u_{i+1}/\sqrt{n})] du_i du_{i+1} \\ = \int_{|u_i|+|u_i-u_{i+1}|\leq 1} \frac{1}{|u_i - u_{i+1}|^p} K(u_i, u_{i+1}) du_i du_{i+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we finally obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{2-p} \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda_i^{(n)}(t) - \lambda_{i+1}^{(n)}(t)|^p} \right) = \pi t^{-pH} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{|u_i - u_{i+1}|^p} K(u_i, u_{i+1}) du_i du_{i+1}.$$

□

The previous lemma will allow us to prove the following result related to the convergence of the multidimensional Skorohod integral that appears in (2.7), which in turn will enable us to identify the limit of any subsequence of the family of laws of processes $\{(\mu_t^{(n)})_{t\geq 0} : n \geq 1\}$.

Lemma 3. *For any $T > 0$, any $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that f' and f'' are bounded, and $p \in (1/H, 2)$, we have that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k\leq h} \int_0^t f'(\Phi_i^{(n)}(b(s))) \frac{\partial \Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}}(b(s)) \delta b_{kh}(s) \right| = 0, \qquad t \in [0,T], \tag{4.11}$$

in probability.

Proof. Let us use the following notation for the Skorohod integral with respect to the multidimensional fractional Brownian motion $\{b(t), t \geq 0\}$:

$$\int_0^t g^{i,n}(b(s))\delta b(s) := \sum_{k\leq h} \int_0^t g_{kh}^{i,n}(b(s))\delta b_{kh}(s),$$

where

$$g_{kh}^{i,n}(b(s)) := f'(\Phi_i^{(n)}(b(s))) \frac{\partial \Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}}(b(s)), \qquad \text{for each} \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

The following $\mathbb{L}_p$ estimates will be very useful in what follows. For any $p \geq 1/H$ and $i = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^T g^{i,n}(b(s))\delta b(s) \right|^p &\leq c_{p,T,H} \left(\|\mathbb{E}(g^{i,n}(b))\|^p_{\mathbb{L}^{1/H}([0,T])} + \mathbb{E}\|Dg^{i,n}(b)\|^p_{\mathbb{L}^{1/H}([0,T]^2)} \right) \\ &= c_{p,T,H} \left[\int_0^T \|\mathbb{E}(g^{i,n}(b(s)))\|^p ds + \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T \left(\int_0^T \|D_s g^{i,n}(b(r))\|^{\frac{1}{H}} ds \right)^{pH} dr \right) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where $c_{p,T,H}$ is a positive constant depending on p, H, and T.

This last result is a consequence of Meyer's inequalities: it appears for the one dimensional case in (5.40) of [13] and can be extended to the multidimensional case as in the proof of Proposition 3.5 of [15].

Now, we proceed to estimate each of the two integrals in the right hand side of (4.12). Recalling the definition of g and using (2.8), it is clear, by Jensen's inequality, that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{E}(g^{i,n}(b(s)))\| = \left[\sum_{k\leq h} \left(\mathbb{E}\left\{ f'(\Phi_i^{(n)}(b(s))) \frac{\partial \Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}}(b(s)) \right\} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} &\leq \|f'\|_\infty \left[\sum_{k\leq h} \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\partial \Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}}(b(s)) \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ &= 2^{1/2}\|f'\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Jensen's inequality, we get

$$\int_0^T \left\|\mathbb{E}(g^{i,n}(b(s)))\right\|^p ds \leq 2^{p/2}\|f'\|_\infty^p T.$$

For the second integral in the right hand side of (4.12), we first compute an upper bound for the norm of the Malliavin derivative of g:

$$\begin{aligned}\|D_s g^{i,n}(b(r))\| &\leq \sum_{k\leq h} |(D_s^{kh} g_{kh}^{i,n}(b(r)))| \\ &= \sum_{k\leq h} \left(\frac{|f''(\Phi_i^{(n)}(b(r)))|}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_i^{(n)}}{\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}}(b(r)) \right)^2 + \frac{|f'(\Phi_i^{(n)}(b(r)))|}{\sqrt{n}} \left| \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_i^{(n)}}{\left(\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}\right)^2}(b(r)) \right| \right) \\ &\leq Cn^{-1/2} \left\{ \|f''\|_\infty + \|f'\|_\infty \sum_{k\leq h} \left| \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_i^{(n)}}{\left(\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}\right)^2}(b(r)) \right| \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

for a positive constant C.

On the other hand, from p. 9 in [16] and Jensen's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k\leq h} \left| \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_i^{(n)}}{\left(\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}\right)^2}(b(r)) \right| &= \sum_{k\leq h} \left| 2 \sum_{j\neq i} \frac{|u_{ik}^{(n)}(r) u_{jh}^{(n)}(r) + u_{jk}^{(n)}(r) u_{ih}^{(n)}(r)|^2}{\lambda_i^{(n)}(r) - \lambda_j^{(n)}(r)} \right| \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{k\leq h} \sum_{i\neq j} \frac{|u_{ik}^{(n)}(r) u_{jh}^{(n)}(r) + u_{jk}^{(n)}(r) u_{ih}^{(n)}(r)|^2}{|\lambda_i^{(n)}(r) - \lambda_j^{(n)}(r)|} \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i\neq j} |\lambda_i^{(n)}(r) - \lambda_j^{(n)}(r)|^{-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

We have using (4.13) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T \left(\int_0^T \|D_s g^{i,n}(b(r))\|^{\frac{1}{H}} ds \right)^{pH} dr \right) \\ &\qquad \leq Cn^{-p/2} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T r^{pH} \left\{ \|f''\|_\infty + \|f'\|_\infty \sum_{k\leq h} \left| \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_i^{(n)}}{\left(\partial b_{kh}^{(n)}\right)^2}(b(r)) \right| \right\}^p dr \right)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using (4.14), and Jensen's inequality, we obtain, for $p > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T \left(\int_0^T \|D_s g^{i,n}(b(r))\|^{\frac{1}{H}} ds \right)^{pH} dr \right) \\ &\qquad \leq K_p n^{-p/2} \int_0^T r^{pH} \left\{ \|f''\|_\infty^p + \|f'\|_\infty^p \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i\neq j} |\lambda_i^{(n)}(r) - \lambda_j^{(n)}(r)|^{-1} \right)^p \right\} dr \\ &\qquad \leq K_p n^{-p/2} \int_0^T r^{pH} \left\{ \|f''\|_\infty^p + \|f'\|_\infty^p n^{p-1} \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i\neq j} |\lambda_i^{(n)}(r) - \lambda_j^{(n)}(r)|^{-p} \right) \right\} dr, \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

where K_p is a positive constant depending on p.

Now using Lemma 2, we can conclude that there exists a constant $C(p)$ such that for large enough $n \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{i \neq j} \mathbb{E}\left(|\lambda_i^{(n)}(r) - \lambda_j^{(n)}(r)|^{-p} \right) \leq C(p) r^{-pH} n^{p-1}.$$

So using the above estimate in (4.15), it is clear that there exist two constants $K_1(T,p,H)$ and $K_2(T,p,H)$ that depend on p, H and T such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T \left(\int_0^T \|D_s g^{i,n}(b(r))\|^{\frac{1}{H}} ds \right)^{pH} dr \right) \leq K_1(T,p,H) n^{-p/2} + K_2(T,p,H) n^{3p/2-2}.$$

Therefore, putting all the pieces together, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left| \int_0^t g^{i,n}(b(s)) \delta b(s) \right|^p \right) \leq K_3(T,p,H) + K_1(T,p,H) n^{-p/2} + K_2(T,p,H) n^{3p/2-2},$$

where $K_3(T,p,f)$ is a positive constant that depends on T, H, and p.

In order to complete the proof, using Jensen's inequality and the fact that $p > 1$, we observe

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left(\left| \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t g^{i,n}(b(s)) \delta b(s) \right|^p \right) &\leq n^{p-1} n^{-3p/2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t g^{i,n}(b(s)) \delta b(s) \right|^p \right) \\ &= n^{-p/2}(K_3(T,p,H) + K_1(T,p,H) n^{-p/2} + K_2(T,p,H) n^{3p/2-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence for $\varepsilon, T > 0$, and taking $p \in (1/H, 2)$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left| \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t g^{i,n}(b(s)) \delta b(s) \right| > \varepsilon \right) \\ &\quad \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} \left(n^{-p/2}(K_3(T,p,H) + K_1(T,p,H) n^{-p/2} + K_2(T,p,H) n^{3p/2-2}) \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t g^{i,n}(b(s)) \delta b(s) \right| \to 0,$$

in probability as n goes to $+\infty$. □

With the previous results, we are ready to identify the weak limit of the sequence of the measure-valued processes $\{(\mu_t^{(n)})_{t \geq 0} : n \geq 1\}$ as the solution to a measure valued equation.

Theorem 2. *The family of measure-valued processes $\{(\mu_t^{(n)})_{t \geq 0} : n \geq 1\}$ converges weakly in $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \Pr(\mathbb{R}))$ to the unique continuous probability-measure valued function satisfying, for each $t \geq 0$ $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$\langle \mu_t, f \rangle = \langle \mu_0, f \rangle + H \int_0^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f'(x) - f'(y)}{x - y} s^{2H-1} \mu_s(dx) \mu_s(dy). \tag{4.16}$$

Proof. From Proposition 1, we know that the family $\{(\mu_t^{(n)})_{t\geq 0} : n \geq 1\}$ is relatively compact. Let us now take a subsequence $\{(\mu_t^{(n_k)})_{t\geq 0} : k \geq 1\}$ and assume that it converges weakly to $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}$. Therefore, by (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mu_t^{(n_k)}, f\rangle - \langle \mu_0^{(n_k)}, f\rangle - H\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f'(x)-f'(y)}{x-y} s^{2H-1}\mu_s^{(n_k)}(dx)\mu_s^{(n_k)}(dy)ds \\ = \frac{1}{n_k^{3/2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k}\sum_{k\leq h}\int_0^t f'(\Phi_i^{n_k}(b(s)))\frac{\partial \Phi_i^{(n_k)}}{\partial b_{kh}^{(n_k)}}(b(s))\delta b_{kh}(s) \\ + \frac{H}{n_k^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n_k}\int_0^t f''(\Phi_i^{n_k}(b(s)))s^{2H-1}ds. \qquad (4.17)\end{aligned}$$

Note that for $0 \leq t \leq T$, the following limit holds $\mathbb{P}$ a.s.,

$$\left|\frac{H}{n_k^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n_k}\int_0^t f''(\Phi_i^{n_k}(b(s)))s^{2H-1}ds\right| \leq \frac{1}{2n_k}T^{2H}\|f''\|_\infty \to 0, \qquad \text{as } k\to\infty. \qquad (4.18)$$

Hence, using (4.18) and Lemma 3, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{k\to\infty}\left|\frac{1}{n_k^{3/2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n_k}\sum_{k\leq h}\int_0^t f'(\Phi_i^{n_k}(b(s)))\frac{\partial \Phi_i^{(n_k)}}{\partial b_{kh}^{(n_k)}}(b(s))\delta b_{kh}(s)\right| \\ + \left|\frac{H}{n_k^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n_k}\int_0^t f''(\Phi_i^{n_k}(b(s)))s^{2H-1}ds\right| = 0,\end{aligned}$$

in probability, and therefore there exists a subsequence (that without loss generality we will denote by $(n_k)_{k\geq 0}$) such that the same limit holds $\mathbb{P}$ a.s.
Therefore, using (4.17)

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mu_t, f\rangle - \langle \mu_0, f\rangle - H\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{f'(x)-f'(y)}{x-y}s^{2H-1}\mu_s(dx)\mu_s(dy)ds \\ = \lim_{k\to\infty}\langle \mu_t^{(n_k)}, f\rangle - \langle \mu_0^{(n_k)}, f\rangle - H\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{f'(x)-f'(y)}{x-y}s^{2H-1}\mu_s^{(n_k)}(dx)\mu_s^{(n_k)}(dy)ds = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Then we can conclude that any weak limit $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}$ of a subsequence $(\mu_t^{(n_k)})_{t\geq 0}$ should satisfy (4.16).

Applying (4.16) to the deterministic sequence of functions

$$f_j(x) = \frac{1}{x-z_j}, \qquad z_j \in (\mathbb{Q}\times\mathbb{Q})\cap\mathbb{C}^+,$$

and using a continuity argument, we get that the Cauchy–Stieltjes transform $(G_t)_{t\geq 0}$ of $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}$ satisfies the integral equation

$$G_t(z) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\mu_0(dz)}{x-z} + H\int_0^t s^{2H-1}ds\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{\mu_s(dx)\mu_s(dy)}{(x-z)(y-z)^2}, \qquad t\geq 0, z\in\mathbb{C}^+. \qquad (4.19)$$

From (4.19) it is easily seen that G_t is the unique solution to the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}G_t(z) = Hs^{2H-1}G_t(z)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}G_t(z), & t>0, \\ G_0(z) = -\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mu_0(dx)}{x-z}, & z\in\mathbb{C}^+. \end{cases} \tag{4.20}$$

Therefore all limits of subsequences of $(\mu_t^{(n)})_{t\geq 0}$ coincide with the family $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}$, with Cauchy–Stieltjes transform given as the solution to (4.20), and thus the sequence $\{(\mu_t^{(n)})_{t\geq 0} : n\geq 1\}$ converges weakly to $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}$. □

5. Convergence to a non-commutative fractional Brownian motion

In this section, we prove that the deterministic process $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}$ corresponds to the law of a non-commutative fractional Brownian motion. The intuitive idea is as follows: by the tightness of the sequence of processes $\{(\mu_t^{(n)})_{t\geq 0}; n\geq 1\}$ in the space $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \Pr(\mathbb{R}))$, the weak limit $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}$ of any subsequence $\{(\mu_t^{(n_k)})_{t\geq 0}; k\geq 1\}$ should satisfy (4.16) for any $t\geq 0$ and $f\in C_b^2$.
Therefore by the uniqueness of solutions to Equation (4.19), it is easy to check that

$$G_t(z) = \frac{1}{2t^{2H}}\left(\sqrt{z^2-4t^{2H}} - z\right), \qquad t>0,\, z\in\mathbb{C}^+,$$

which is the Cauchy–Stieltjes transform of a semi-circle law with variance at time $t>0$ given by t^{2H}, and hence the law of a non-commutative fractional Brownian motion at time t.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let us recall that the sequence of processes $\{(\mu_t^{(n)})_{t\geq 0} : n\geq 1\}$ is tight in $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \Pr(\mathbb{R}))$. This implies that the sequence is relatively compact, in other words, there exists a subsequence $\{(\mu_t^{(n_k)})_{t\geq 0} : k\geq 1\}$ that converges weakly to a process that we denote by $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}$ in $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \Pr(\mathbb{R}))$.

Given the fact that the weak convergence of processes in $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \Pr(\mathbb{R}))$ implies the convergence of the finite-dimensional distributions, then for each bounded and continuous function $g:\mathbb{R}^m\to\mathbb{R}$, and for each sequence of times $0\leq t_1<\cdots<t_m$, it follows that

$$\langle \mu_{t_1,\ldots,t_m}^{(n_k)}, g\rangle \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \langle \mu_{t_1,\ldots,t_m}, g\rangle, \qquad \text{as } k\to\infty. \tag{5.21}$$

Let us now consider $\{B^{(n_k)}(t), t\geq 0\}$ the symmetric fractional Brownian matrix, such that the empirical measure of its eigenvalues (see (1.4)) is given by $(\mu_t^{(n_k)})_{t\geq 0}$.

First we will prove that the deterministic process $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}$ corresponds to the law of a semicircle process. To this end consider a set of points in time $0\leq t_1<\cdots<t_m$ and $\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_m\in\mathbb{R}$. Then for any polynomial Q, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n_k}\mathbf{tr}\left(Q\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i B^{(n_k)}(t_i)\right)\right)\right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} Q\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i x_i\right)\mu_{t_1,\ldots,t_n}^{n_k}(dx_1,\ldots,dx_m).$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n_k}\mathbf{tr}\left(Q\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\lambda_i B^{(n_k)}(t_i)\right)\right)\right]=\int_{\mathbb{R}^m}Q\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\lambda_i x_i\right)\mu_{t_1,t_2,\ldots,t_m}(dx_1,\ldots,dx_m). \tag{5.22}$$

From Theorem 2.2 in [21], we know that the random matrix

$$X^{(n_k)}=\lambda_1 B^{(n_k)}(t_1)+\cdots+\lambda_m B^{(n_k)}(t_m),$$

has a limit distribution, $\tilde{\mu}$, which is a semicircle law. Hence using (5.22) we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}Q(x)\tilde{\mu}(dx)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^m}Q(\lambda_1x_1+\cdots+\lambda_mx_m)\mu_{t_1,t_2,\ldots,t_m}(dx_1,dx_2,\ldots,dx_m).$$

So if we define the function $h:\mathbb{R}^m\to\mathbb{R}$ by

$$h(x_1,\ldots,x_m)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\lambda_i x_i.$$

Then the distribution $\mu_{t_1,\ldots,t_n}\circ h^{-1}$ has a semicircle law. Therefore the process $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is the law of a semicircular process.

Now we proceed to identify the limit as the law of a non-commutative fractional Brownian motion. So first we will prove that $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}$ corresponds to the law of a centered semicircular process. To this end for $t\geq 0$, we obtain, using (4.16) (with $f(x)=x$) the following

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}x\mu_t(dx)=\langle x,\delta_0\rangle=0. \tag{5.23}$$

Therefore, $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is the law of a centered semicircular process.

Finally in order to conclude the proof we compute the covariance: for $t\geq s\geq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}(xy)\mu_{s,t}(dx,dy)&=\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}(xy)\mu_{s,t}^{n_k}(dx,dy)\\&=\lim_{k\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n_k}\mathbf{tr}\left(B^{(n_k)}(t)B^{(n_k)}(s)\right)\right]\\&=\frac{1}{2}\left(t^{2H}+s^{2H}-|t-s|^{2H}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Noting that this holds for any subsequence, we can conclude that the whole sequence $\{(\mu_t^{(n)})_{t\geq 0}:n\geq 1\}$ converges in law to the deterministic process $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}$ which is characterized by being the law of a non-commutative fractional Brownian motion. □

REFERENCES

[1] ALÓS, E., NUALART, D., Stochastic integration with respect to the fractional Brownian motion. *Stoch. Stoch. Rep.* **75**, 29–152, (2003).

[2] ANDERSON, G. W., GUIONNET, A., ZEITOUNI, O., *An Introduction to Random Matrices.* Cambridge University Press, (2009).

[3] BIANE, P., *Free Brownian motion, free stochastic calculus and random matrices.* In: Free probability theory (Waterloo, ON, 1995), pp. 1–19, *Fields Inst. Commun.*, **12**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1997).

[4] BILLINGSLEY, P., *Probability and Measure*. Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics, John Wiley & Sons, Third Edition, (1995).

[5] CABANAL DUVILLARD T., GUIONNET A., Large deviations upper bounds for the laws of matrix-valued processes and non-communicative entropies. *Ann. Probab.* **29**, 1205–1261, (2001).

[6] CÉPA, E., LÉPINGLE, D., Diffusing particles with electrostatic repulsion. *Prob. Theory Relat. Fields* **107**, 429–449, (1997).

[7] ETHIER, S. N., KURTZ, T. G. *Markov Processes: Characterization and Convergence.* Wiley, (1986).

[8] HOFFMAN, A. J., WIELANDT, H. W. The variation of the spectrum of a normal matrix. *Duke Math. J.* **20**, 37–39, (1953).

[9] MEHTA, M. L. *Random Matrices.* Elsevier Academic Press, 3rd. edition, (2004).

[10] MISHURA, Y. S., *Stochastic Calculus with Respect to Fractional Brownian Motion and Related Processes.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2008).

[11] NOURDIN, I., *Selected Aspects of Fractional Brownian Motion,* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2012).

[12] NOURDIN, I., TAQQU, M. S., Central and non-central limit theorems in a free probability setting. *J. Theoret. Probab.* **27**, 220–248, (2014).

[13] NUALART, D., *The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2nd. edition, (2006).

[14] NUALART, D., Differential equations driven by fractional Brownian motion. *Collect. Math.* **53**, (1), 55–81, (2002).

[15] NUALART, D., PARDOUX, E., Stochastic calculus with anticipating integrands. *Prob. Theory Relat. Fields* **78**, 535–581, (1988).

[16] NUALART, D., PÉREZ-ABREU, V., On the eigenvalue process of a matrix fractional Brownian motion. *Stoch. Process. Appl.* **124**, 4266–4282, (2014).

[17] PÉREZ-ABREU, V., TUDOR, C., Functional limit theorems for traces in the Dyson–Brownian motion. *Comm. Stoch. Anal.* **1**, 415–428, (2007).

[18] PÉREZ-ABREU, V., TUDOR, C., On the traces of Laguerre processes. *Elect. J. Probab.* **14**, No. 76, 2241–2263, (2009).

[19] ROGERS, L., SHI, Z., Interacting Brownian particles and the Wigner law. *Prob. Theory Relat. Fields* **95**, No. 4, 555–570, (1993).

[20] TAQQU, M.S., Fractional Brownian motion and long-range dependence. In: Doukhan, P., Oppenheim, G., Taqqu, M.S. (eds.) *Theory and Applications of Long-Range Dependence*, pp. 5–38. Birkhäuser, Basel, (2003)

[21] VOICULESCU, D. V., Limit laws for random matrices and free product. *Invent. Math.* **104**, 201–220, (1991).

[22] WIGNER, E. Distribution laws for roots of a random Hermitian matrix. In: Porter, C. E. (ed.), *Statistical Theory of Spectra: Fluctuations.* pp. 446–461. Academic Press, San Diego, (1965).

(Juan Carlos Pardo) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN MATEMÁTICAS, APARTADO POSTAL 402, GUANAJUATO GTO 36000, MEXICO.

E-mail address: jcpardo@cimat.mx

(J. L. Pérez) DEPARTMENT OF PROBABILITY AND STATISTICS, IIMAS-UNAM, MEXICO CITY, MEXICO.

E-mail address: garmendia@sigma.iimas.unam.mx

(Victor Pérez-Abreu) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN MATEMÁTICAS, APARTADO POSTAL 402, GUANAJUATO GTO 36000, MEXICO.

E-mail address: pabreu@cimat.mx